

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,331	10/11/2001	Martti Heikkila	3952-36 8601	
23117 7	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			ALVO, MARC S	
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			1731	
	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004		4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	21		
Advisory Action	09/913,331	HEIKKILA ET AL.	1+1		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve Alvo	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	$ \bigcirc$ \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc			
10. Other:		Steve Alvo Primary Examiner			
		Art Unit: 1731			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/913,331

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not shown where the claimed language is disclosed. The portions of the specification which Applicant says supports the claimed limitations are more specific than the claimed terminology. Accordingly the 35 USC 112 rejections have not been overcome. Acceptable terminology was set forth by the Examiner in the first Office Action.